

ONE SHEET – SCIENTIFIC ARGUMENT FOR THE EXISTENCE OF GOD

1. We live in a closed universe, which means the total energy in it must remain constant, pursuant to the First Law of thermodynamics.
2. A scientific “law” means no exceptions are permitted.
3. There is a well-established scientific consensus that a “Big Bang” happened.
4. Regardless of the nomenclature assigned to this event, first there was nothing, and then there was something.
5. Such a transition from nothing→something means energy was created, which violates the First Law of thermodynamics.
6. It follows, that in order to break the First Law, such an event must arise from something outside the spatial and temporal bounds of the universe, and from something greater than the scientific laws.
7. Some postulate that the universe has been existing forever, and that there was no Big Bang-esque initiation event.
8. However, pursuant to the Second Law of thermodynamics, the entropy – i.e., the amount of disorder – will always increase over time in a closed universe.
9. This means that, eventually, a state of “equilibrium” will be reached. Disorder increases and increases until no energy is remaining for “work” to be done. This is equilibrium.
10. However, a forever-existing universe would violate the 2nd law of thermodynamics, because we would currently be in a state of total equilibrium – i.e., no energy remaining for work. But that is not the case.
11. Therefore, there was clearly an initiation point to the universe, at some defined point in time, since energy is still available for work to be done.
12. Thus, those who posit a forever-existing universe cannot reconcile that with the 2nd law of thermodynamics.
13. In conclusion: the universe began at a given point in time. The 2nd law of thermodynamics is evidence of this. That beginning violates the first law of thermodynamics via a creation of energy. Only an entity beyond the spatiotemporal bounds of the universe, and greater than the laws of thermodynamics, could cause this to occur. God, the entity which ‘created’ the laws is the likely culprit.
14. This evidence is highly probative but not dispositive; when analyzed in concert with all the multidisciplinary evidence, it’s clear God exists.